

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. <b>09/415,285</b>	Applicant(s) <b>Schafer et al.</b>
	Examiner <b>Sherry Dauerman</b>	Group Art Unit <b>1761</b>

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sherry Dauerman \_\_\_\_\_ (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) William W. Schwarze, Esq. (Attorney) \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Oct 23, 2000

Type:  Telephonic  Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No. If yes, brief description:  
(see faxed proposed examiner's amendments to supplemental claims filed October 23, 2000)

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 5 specifically and all claims in general

Identification of prior art discussed:

Smith et al. (Pat. 4,446,167)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

It was agreed that claim 1 would be canceled and entered as new claim 11, where claim 11 incorporates the first portion of original claim 7; to replaces the term "tight" with – impermeable –; to deletes the term "individual fibers"; and to adds the limitation wherein – the casing is impregnated with coloring and/or flavoring agents in an amount sufficient to impart color and/or flavor to the foodstuff when the food barrier casing encloses the food stuff –. Claim 5 would be canceled and replaced by new claim 12, wherein the structural relationship between the layers would be described using simpler wording. It was agreed that these amendements would be submitted in the form of a supplemental amendment from the Applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amends which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2.  Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.